



For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Shaw	
Job Title (where relevant)	n/a	
Organisation (where relevant)	n/a	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Leeds	
Line 4	W Yorkshire	
Post Code	LS28 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number		
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 16.3.14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input type="text"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	----------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="nk"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="no"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="no"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I am detailing my objection to the green belt protection being removed, and the loss of our local countryside. I do not object to the proposal for 900 homes to be built near to Holme Wood as this is within the natural boundary and is an acceptable amount of housing for Tong ward to provide towards Bradford housing needs.

Co-operation: Bradford CC does not appear to have taken account of the objections from Leeds CC to this proposal and the duty to co-operate does not appear to include Leeds and Kirklees although these are adjacent authorities and Leeds land surrounds a significant proportion of this development.

Soundness:

This development is not consistent with national policy and the commitment to maintain the green belt, or how this green belt has a purpose in separating the urban developments of Leeds and Bradford.

The area under threat is a vital asset providing countryside for residents and local communities, potential for recreational activities and supports our local heritage such as the historic communities of Fulneck and Tong villages. Bradford CC is not treating this as existing green belt land, the strategy does not account for the loss of this environmental asset.

Bradford should exhaust all other options before applying to develop green belt land such as reclaim brown field and derelict sites.

There will be a massive impact on adjoining areas outside Bradford authority such as increased traffic and impact on schools and health providers. This is too big a development to be sustained in one area.

The plans do not adequately account for the increased risk of flooding in areas already at risk such as Troydale and Pudsey beck.

Holme Wood does not have the infrastructure or capacity to absorb new housing beyond the 900 proposed new builds immediately adjacent to existing housing. Buyers in Westgate Hill are more likely to associate with Tong, rather than Holme Wood, and developers will promote this link as a more financially viable and saleable option.

If this proposal is accepted, developers will seek to extend to adjacent countryside sites, and I strongly object to this plan which seeks to reduce our local countryside. There is a need to maintain the Green Belt designation and protection this affords the communities of Leeds and Bradford.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

To remove the application for housing beyond the 900 proposed adjacent and within Holme Wood.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

no

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

16.3.14

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.

